```
1
 2
 3
 4
                                                           JS - 6
5
6
7
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   SHARON DIEU-HIEN TRAN,
                                     Case No. SACV 11-01393 DDP (RZx)
                   Plaintiff,
12
                                     ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION
13
                                     TO DISMISS
        v.
   US BANK NA., as Trustee for
   the TRUCAP REO PASS THROUGH
   TRUST,
                                      [Dkt. No. 23]
15
16
                   Defendants.
17
18
        Presently before the court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss
   Complaint. Because Plaintiff has not filed an opposition, the
19
20
   court GRANTS the motion.
21
        Central District of California Local Rule 7-9 requires an
   opposing party to file an opposition to any motion at least twenty-
22
   one (21) days prior to the date designated for hearing the motion.
23
   C.D. CAL. L.R. 7-9. Additionally, Local Rule 7-12 provides that
25
   "[t]he failure to file any required paper, or the failure to file
   it within the deadline, may be deemed consent to the granting or
27
   denial of the motion." C.D. CAL. L.R. 7-12.
```

28

///

## Case 8:11-cv-01393-DDP -RZ Document 30 Filed 01/03/12 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:433

The hearing on Defendant's motion was set for January 9, 2012. Plaintiff's opposition was therefore due by December 19, 2011. As of the date of this Order, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition, or any other filing that could be construed as a request for a continuance. Accordingly, the court deems Plaintiff's failure to oppose as consent to granting the motion to dismiss, and GRANTS the motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 3, 2012

DEAN D. PREGERSON

United States District Judge

\_ ′